

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/683,329	12/14/2001	Robert C.U. Yu	D/A0A96Q 8628	
75	590 08/13/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			HARAN, JOHN T	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1733	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/683,329	YU ET AL.	/			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John T. Haran	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a Ition in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). The feet have been filed in the period of t	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appooriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): none - see attached she	<u>et</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/683,329

Art Unit: 1733

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are directed to whether or not Schlueter '301 provides adequate suggestion for using templates to control the shape of overlapping edges. It is first noted that Applicant appears to be basing the criticality of the patentability on a feature (using a template), which is described in the specification at a bare minimum.

Schlueter '193 is the primary reference and teaches laser ablating the ends of a belt to desired puzzle cut shapes for overlapping, interlocking, and mating the two ends to form a seamed belt that minimizes the thickness differential between the seamed portion of the belt. However, Schlueter '193 is silent towards using a template when shaping the ends of a belt with a laser, however such is well known and conventional as shown for example in Schlueter '301. Schlueter '301 teaches forming complementary puzzle cuts to ends of a sheet to be mated together with laser and teaches using a template to control the pattern (Column 3, lines 39-46 and Examples Table 1). While Schlueter '301 is silent towards whether or not the template prevents laser from striking the sheet under the template, one skilled in the art would have readily recognized using such a template in order to avoid removing material that is not supposed to be removed or damaging material. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would have readily appreciated that the templates used on each end of the belt are complementary in order to form complementary shapes.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,329

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant argues that Schlueter '301 does not teach using a template for laser ablating complementary shapes that are to be overlapped and that Schlueter '301 teaches using a template in conjunction with laser ablating through the entire material. However, it is notoriously well known and conventional in a variety of arts that templates are used to control the shape of the edge and that one skilled in the art would have readily appreciated using different templates to obtain different shapes. In addition, it is noted that the amount or depth of the material removed is entirely independent of the template and is determined by the intensity and duration of the laser light in a given location. One skilled in the art would have readily appreciated using a template to control the edge shape of the material not ablated and to protect the material under the template from being removed in light of the teachings of Schlueter '301 regardless of the ultimate purpose for having laser ablated material away, including for creating edges that can be overlapped. Furthermore, the lack of description of the use of the templates in the present application is further evidence of this assertion that one of ordinary skill would have known how to use a template in laser ablating to obtain overlapping edges.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use first and second templates for defining the puzzle cut pattern to be laser ablated in the two ends of the sheet which prevent the laser from removing material under the template in order to overlap, interlock, and mate the puzzle cut ends of the belt in the method Schlueter '193 as is well known and conventional in the art as suggested in Schlueter '301.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,329

Art Unit: 1733

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John T. Haran** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1217**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John T. Haran Examiner Art Unit 1733